Don't Simulate Twice!

One-shot Sensitivity Analyses via Automatic Differentiation

Arnau Quera-Bofarull, Ayush Chopra, Anisoara Calinescu, Ramesh Raskar, Michael Wooldridge

AAMAS 2023 – London





Agent-Based Models

- ABMs promising tool to model complex systems "bottom-up"
- Wide adoption hindered by (not exhaustive):



Robustness

Data availability



Differentiable Agent-Based Models

Idea: Use Automatic Differentiation in ABMs





Case study: the JUNE epidemiological model

• JUNE is a 1:1 epi model of England (56 million agents)

GradABM-JUNE is its differentiable implementation (PyTorch).

	Simulatio
JUNE	50 hours
GRADABM-JUNE (GPU)	5 second

Ref: Ayush Chopra previous talk





Case study: the JUNE epidemiological model

	Simu
JUNE	50 ł
GRADABM-JUNE (GPU)	$5 \mathrm{se}$

We can use gradient descent / variational inference for calibration





Sensitivity Analyses Why is it necessary?

1. Robustness

- Example: Epidemiological ABM
- One parameter: R0, an expert measures to be $R0 = 1.5 \pm 0.3$

Sensitivity Analyses crucial for policy evaluation



Sensitivity Analyses Why is it necessary?

2. Interpretability

• Sensitive parameters tells us what's important in the model.



JUNE: London case study



11 Free parameters: 10 contact intensity locations (schools, companies, etc.) Initial number of cases

May



Sensitivity Analysis via Automatic Differentiation







The impact of uncertainty on predictions of the CovidSim epidemiological code

Wouter Edeling¹, Hamid Arabnejad², Robbie Sinclair³, Diana Suleimenova², Krishnakumar Gopalakrishnan³, Bartosz Bosak⁴, Derek Groen², Imran Mahmood², Daan Crommelin^{1,5} and Peter V. Coveney^{3,6}

Epidemiological modelling has assisted in identifying interventions that reduce the impact of COVID-19. The UK government relied, in part, on the CovidSim model to guide its policy to contain the rapid spread of the COVID-19 pandemic during March and April 2020; however, CovidSim contains several sources of uncertainty that affect the quality of its predictions: parametric uncertainty, model structure uncertainty and scenario uncertainty. Here we report on parametric sensitivity analysis and uncertainty quantification of the code. From the 940 parameters used as input into CovidSim, we find a subset of 19 to which the code output is most sensitive—imperfect knowledge of these inputs is magnified in the outputs by up to 300%. The model displays substantial bias with respect to observed data, failing to describe validation data well. Quantifying parametric input uncertainty is therefore not sufficient: the effect of model structure and scenario uncertainty must also be properly understood.

Ensemble execution. Consequently, through the use of adaptive methods we make the uncertainty analysis of CovidSim tractable, but our analysis nevertheless required us to perform thousands of runs, each with its own unique set of input parameters. Specifically, we used the Eagle supercomputer at the Posnan

Reverse-mode AD independent of number of parameters!



Sensitivity Analysis







Interrogating the model

JUNE recovers infection inequalities across demographic groups without explicit calibration







Analysing the sensitivity of each demographic group

Infected population in group d $\int d u = \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}$

Population in group d





Analysing the sensitivity of each demographic group



Some Ethnic groups are more vulnerable to infections in certain locations.

This is due to household size, work sector, family structure, etc.





Analysing the sensitivity of each demographic group



Household



Company

School



University







Conclusions

- Differentiable agent-based models enable:
- 1. Fast simulation via tensorisation.
- 2. Fast and accurate Bayesian calibration via gradients.
- 3. Fast and accurate sensitivity analyses via gradients.

Paper + slides: www.arnau.ai/aamas23